

Alan Watt  
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#287)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Mar. 30, 2009:

**Debunk--Rising Sea Level Sunk:**

"Climate Report Shows Predictions to be  
Against All Evidence, No Rising Sea,  
Dr. Mörner Used No Computer Model,  
Donned His Shoes, Went for a Toddle  
To the Places Where Tide does Rise,  
Used Measuring Tool, Used His Eyes,  
Found Copious Evidence to Refute  
The IPCC, Who Supercompute  
With Expensive Machines from Crisis Inc.,  
Which Guarantees to Make an Optimist Sink  
Into Neurotic Fear of Panic and Gloom,  
Endless Predictions of Pending Doom,  
Mörner's Findings are Not Fashionable  
Amongst Elite Who Push the Irrationable"

© Alan Watt Mar. 30, 2009

**Monday 30<sup>th</sup> March 2009**

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Mar. 30, 2009 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

---

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenknness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenknness.com)

---

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and  
DONATIONS:**

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders

(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March the 30th 2009.

Newcomers: look into [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and you'll find lots of talks I've given in the past, where I do my best to fill in all the gaps in history, to show you that the world is really run by a powerful elite, who plan the future. It makes perfect sense, that's really what high levels of government are always about, just as their first duty is to preserve themselves, preserve government; but they plan the future, like any big business, to do with their investments, where they want to be, etc, etc. We're going into this global society that was planned an awful long time ago, written about copiously, and what's happening with the shake-ups across the world today, it's what Mr. Rockefeller referred to at a World Bank meeting and he says you can't make an omelette without breaking eggs. That's really what all the financial depression is about etc. He also said that this generation would be the cannon fodder for this agenda. So, here we are, going along through an agenda most people don't even know, they think everything's happening by chance. Therefore, look into my website [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and I try and give you a lot of information that I've gleaned over the years, to do with those behind it; and they do publish their works from the big Foundations that sit and plan it all out and work it into being.

Also, look into [www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu) for transcripts of these talks, which you can download, print up and pass round to your friends; they're written in the various of Europe.

You can also buy my books at [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) and discs and so on and that keeps me going; or, you can donate; or, you can also write to me or send cheques (and cheques are fine, from the US and Canada) [listed above].

That keeps me going; and as times get worse, I'm sure many of us will fall by the wayside and that's just the way it will go. As I say, the big agenda is steam-rolling ahead; and what's astonishing is that most people are oblivious to it. Most people, truly, are oblivious; they're flabbergasted by the things that happen by the day, by the week, such as the sudden - supposedly - sudden financial meltdown, that was planned that way. They're flabbergasted at the unemployment that's suddenly hit them; but they think it's all just accidents and that's how the media gives it to them. Yet the same media, I must admit, will sometimes given them clues, from the big think-tanks that forecast all of this, the ones that work for the Council on Foreign Relations; I've read some of their articles myself, from the CFR. People should look into their website, Council on Foreign Relations, it's just astonishing what they're working on. They give you their predictions and what they see coming down the road in the future; and remember, they are sworn to *global governance* they call it, where they bring in a world of servitude, basically, to the world state.

That's what's astonishing to people, they don't think about the words that are used, even the term that was given to us after the GATT Treaty and we watched the factories being shipped off to China, to be set up from the Western world, all paid for by our tax money, by the way, because we promised, we, under our government, they made us responsible for payments of losses in income to those factories, until they were set up and running in China. Astonishing stuff; but, as I

say, most folk are oblivious to it, they adapt to everything, unfortunately.

Back in a moment - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix. Before the break, I was discussing the GATT Treaty and how we watched everything, at least those of us who noticed, watched all the factories going off to China. The West built up China, gave them incredible financial aid and help and technique, so they could become the manufacturer for the world; and the term that they used, the economists used for the Western world, that which was left, was to be a *service economy*. Now remember what the word "service" means, it has different levels of meaning in fact, because the world they're going to bring in is to be a world of service. That ties in with Carroll Quigley's statement where the new feudal overlords in this feudal system will be the CEOs of big international corporations. We're all going to be in service to them; we're also put down as the guarantors of all debt, we service the debt. We never think about these things; but lawyers certainly would, they understand they must use all the different meanings of certain words, to win their cases.

Meanwhile, as I say, we play ahead and we adapt, we play and we adapt through our lives to everything that happens. As Darwin said, we're the most adaptable species on the planet; that was echoed of course by Skinner and other ones to do with behaviour modification. And then, Bertrand Russell, of course, one of the masters of this, who sat in all the big think-tanks (in the 1930s, '40s, '50s and even the '60s) where they planned the future, the one we're going through right now, the global society, which they're terming *governance*, you see. People on the street hear these terms, the slogans, and they start to parrot them themselves, without thinking. We find we come to our conclusions by osmosis, not by reasoning, by repetition, from media and magazines and newspapers. Yet, they do tell us where they're taking us, all the time, all the time. When I was reading from the book by Julian Huxley (brother of Aldous Huxley), he talked about the depopulation programme that would go along with this global society and he said we must think the unthinkable, talking to his own peer group, of course. In other words: they must start, literally, putting into action plans to sterilise and depopulate, through illnesses, manufactured illnesses, the population of the planet; he called it "the unthinkable". Most people who are fighting the system, don't get that through their heads that it's actually happening; and I say 'we', at the bottom, must start to think and accept the unthinkable, that they've been doing it you see, because the statistics will certainly stand up to prove that. It isn't until you understand that that you truly understand what you are up against: that there's nothing that happens by accident. The mass sterilisation of the males in the West, that started from the 1950s, like clockwork, 'bang' away it went with inoculations to the present time, with all of the bisphenols and other artificial estrogens in the food in the tin cans (and even in the beer cans by the way, that's why they targeted beer cans, to get the guys) but also in the make-up of women who'd apply it to their skin and it would go right into their body and affect the male foetus. That's what you call bio-warfare, it's a form of bio-chemical warfare, planned, discussed and known about, the actions of these particular chemicals were understood in the 1800s, nothing new.

When the United Nations gives its statistics every year, calmly, quietly, without

adding anything to it, they just blandly say that over 75% of all male sperm is, basically, immotile, it's of no use. They don't make any comment to it; why not? It should be a crisis, but it's because that is the agenda. All we hear about now is "sustainability" that started off with Mr. Rockefeller and his particular Foundations and think-tanks. When you go into the reports, the think-tanks, and I read different reports, the first one was from a think-tank that did the military observations for the future for Britain and NATO, and it coincided with the one that came out later, from the US DCDC (that's from the official military Marine Corps and you'll find it on the website). What they said, they all said the same thing. I think the other one came out on the 23rd of January, for the US Military, that is. DCDC report states that:

*Economic globalization and indiscriminate migration may lead to levels of international integration that effectively bring interstate warfare to an end;*

By "states" they mean "nations".

*however, it will also result in communities of interest at every level of society that transcend national boundaries and could resort to the use of violence.*

They also go into more of this on page 12 of the same report:

### ***Changing Values.***

Now remember what Huxley said (Julian Huxley), he said they'd have to gradually introduce, through different techniques, he meant entertainment through education and so on, that they'd have to gradually devalue human life and get us to accept the devaluation of human life. The easiest way, of course, was to give us a pretty sick society. We have lived through it, those of us who are a bit older, have already lived through all the changes that came through the culture industry, where sex was promoted to the nth degree, exactly as Huxley said it would be; he said himself it wouldn't matter because it would be like eunuchs, entertaining eunuchs, in other words: you'd be sterile. He also said that you'd have to bring a form of degradation in and we've had a degraded society; when we have corpses hanging in art galleries, waxed corpses for art. They're on display and you can pay and go and watch them and 'ooh and ahh' and all that kind of stuff, alongside the jars of the fetuses. Once again, the devaluation of human life; and we, gradually, gradually, accept it; until, if they just take your neighbour away and make piecemeal of him, what's the big deal? You'll literally think of it in economic terms, that's what's coming. From that report, it says:

*Secularism and materialism are likely to grow in significance*

*in an increasingly competitive, inter-connected world,*

That's also interdependent.

*reflecting trends that are already well established in the more developed regions. Meanwhile, cultural mixing, the pace of change and a rapid confluence of modern ideas and traditional values are likely to increase the trend towards moral relativism*

That's exactly what I was saying, there's no right and no wrong anymore. 'So what?' is the attitude; moral relativism.

*and increasingly pragmatic values.*

That's being promoted through the education system, for about 20-30 years, probably 30 years.

*These developments will trigger responses from complex, traditionally defined communities, as well as among significant minorities, which will seek the sanctuary provided by more rigid belief systems, including religious orthodoxy*

I guess it's Christianity and so on they're talking about.

*and doctrinaire political ideologies, such as Populism and Marxism.*

They've already got it all figured out exactly how society will react, as they go through the last of these particular changes; and we won't really care that much, because, you see, if you don't have a cohesive unified culture, you have moral relativism and no one stands up for anyone else. Therefore, only those who are organised, the big NGO (non-governmental organisations) that are funded by the Foundations, have a say. That's how it works, this is warfare, you see, this is warfare technique, Geo-Political too.

*Owing to this increased interdependence and intensifying competition, most states will be bound together by their economic linkages,*

That's already been done, through so many treaties. It says and it's going further now with countries having to bail out other banks' countries, trans-national:

*but will nonetheless be preoccupied by the need to sustain national economic vitality and political advantage. Individual well-being will depend increasingly on access to, and exploitation of, opportunity within the globalized economy, but most people will nonetheless regard the operation of globalized processes as, in many ways, threatening. This is likely to result in local arrangements to mitigate the harsher effects of globalization and the rigorous operation of the market on some countries or communities, in the interests of socio-economic stability or competitive advantage.*

Individually, we're supposed to get stepped up to an even higher level of individual competition and then locally, as a group, you'll be put into more competition. This is all part of the United Nations ideal world idea of Communitarianism: we'll all be born into a system where we're needed; you won't get born if you're not needed for an economic function. Then we'll be competing with other communities, as time goes on. This is from top think-tanks, they don't sit and pop LSD to come up with this stuff and go home and forget it all; this is what all the think-tanks, from the CFR, the US Military think-tanks, at the top, the British NATO etc, all say the exact same things of what's coming for the next fifty-odd years. They even talk about, on page 100, the:

### ***Development of Neutron Weapons***

*...The ability to inflict organic destruction, while leaving infrastructure intact,*

Again, it's all economically-based; we're just unfortunately in the way. Collateral damage, that's people, but the infrastructure, the buildings will remain intact,

*might make it a weapon of choice for extreme ethnic cleansing in an increasingly populated world.*

Doesn't that make you feel better? This is from your top think-tanks, that don't work for you, by the way, they work for this agenda. As do the top boys in militaries across the world. We're already globalized; they're just putting the final signatures on the last part of it.

Back with more - after these messages.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, this is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading a part of that DCDC, whatever report it was, from the US Military think-tank, the top one that agreed with everything from the British Department of Defence, which also did the one for NATO; and it, basically, agrees completely with the Council on Foreign Relations one. It doesn't surprise me, because the Council on Foreign Relations have their men in all the different think-tanks involved. So, it's always the same agenda and the same visions of the future, because, you see, you make the future happen, you don't let it happen, if you want to keep control, you make it happen - and that's what we're living through right now. As I say, we're just the unfortunate; we're just the eggs that have to get broken, to make the big omelette, as Mr. Rockefeller said. However, they go on and on about national sovereignty, how it will gradually turn into international ideas of sovereignty. We'll act together as regions and we'll kind of forget, over maybe a generation, about our national sovereignty altogether. That's what they've been working on through the schools, for a long-long time and it's actually working with a lot of people already. These guys use science, that's the technique of it: science is the key to everything; and they use that to the maximum and with the Internet, they've never had such an inflow of data on every individual. They've got us all sussed-out, individually, they know what we are, what we like, what we like to do, what our hobbies are, and even what we think about different political ideas; we help them, constantly, it's the greatest tool they've ever had. The pulse of the people is always being taken.

Now, part of the whole idea, again, for sustainability and this whole idea that you're here to work, a world of service, they have to have a threat, you see, to replace traditional wars. The Club of Rome was given that task - it's a big think-tank - to come up with an enemy, a new enemy, at a time when there are no national enemies. And they did, literally, look at the alien agenda threat, that kind of stuff, could they make that work with enough movies and Sci-Fi's and late night talk shows that would go into the spooky stuff; could they really do that? And they could; but it would take an awful long time, maybe a couple of generations, maybe more, to really build the possibility up that they'd actually accept that was real and then bring on a fake threat from outer space, or whatever. Even Ronald Reagan talked about that many times, in his speeches; he said the planet would only unite if we had another threat, such as an invasion from 'out there'. We see the same things now, with different governments in the world, talking about the same thing. Asteroids will do as well, an asteroid might come in one day, maybe a thousand years from now, maybe fifty, let's do something about it; so the whole world unites, to fight to defeat a common enemy. However, the Club of Rome thought that the easiest way to do it was through propaganda, gradually building, through education, through the schools, to do with sustainability and that man was going to destroy the planet was the idea, so man himself was the enemy, the new enemy

of the world. Remember: government needs enemies to stay in existence, that was, supposedly, the reason they came into existence in the first place. You know the big guy who's six feet four, says I'll protect this little boy, this bunch here, from that bunch over there. That's really how it kind of starts; and if they've no enemies left and they're taxing the people like crazy, people get upset. During the whole Cold War, we were taxed to the hilt, as the big military-industrial complex of the Western world made fortunes, by giving us latest versions of missile after missile, every other month, that we just had to have, because the enemy had even better ones. It was all nonsense, of course, but money was taxed like crazy off the public; and they didn't mind so much because, after all, they were being protected, that's what they were told.

Now, it's to save the planet from us. Remember, eugenicists started off this whole idea, it's not that there's too many people, as Huxley said: *there's too many of the wrong sort of people*. What did he mean by that? Those with the lower IQs, those who were not up in his class. Or, those who were not in a scientific league, to help his class. And they also foresaw the time when industry would be gone, because it was part of the plan, always, to move it to China. What would you with all those people with nothing to sell but their labour? That really is what's behind it, not because there's overpopulation. So the Club of Rome came up with the idea, as I say, and that's what's working today; they will not change their plans, even if we're up to our eyeballs in snow, they'll yap on about global warming, that's the mandate, that's how schizophrenic it will become. They're all on board with this.

Now this is an article from the Telegraph on the 30th March:-

### ***Rise of sea levels is 'the greatest lie ever told'***

Remember, they told us years ago that islands in the Pacific were going to sink; and by now actually, they should be under 3 feet of water, if I remember right, from the United Nations and all that. This is by Christopher Booker, 28th of March actually, 2009. He says:

*If one thing more than any other is used to justify proposals that the world must spend tens of trillions of dollars on combating global warming, it is the belief that we face a disastrous rise in sea levels. The Antarctic and Greenland ice caps will melt, we are told, warming oceans will expand, and the result will be catastrophe.*

*Although the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) only predicts a sea level rise of 59cm (17 inches) by 2100, Al Gore in his Oscar-winning film An Inconvenient Truth went much further, talking of 20 feet, and showing computer graphics of cities etc.*

I'll be back with more; it's a very interesting article by a true expert, back after these messages.

=== BREAK ===

Hi, I am Alan Watt, just Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article from the Telegraph, written by Christopher Booker, the article's called:

### ***... 'the greatest lie ever told'***

*Although the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) only*

*predicts a sea level rise of 59cm (17 inches) by 2100, Al Gore in his Oscar-winning film An Inconvenient Truth went much further, talking of 20 feet, and showing computer graphics of cities such as Shanghai and San Francisco half under water.*

This has been in all the sci-fi movies too hasn't it? Predictive programming.

*We all know the graphic showing central London in similar plight. As for tiny island nations such as the Maldives and Tuvalu, as Prince Charles likes to tell us and the Archbishop of Canterbury was again parroting last week, they are due to vanish.*

In other words: they're all in on it, they're on board, the pirates always get on board with the same nonsense; but he goes to talk about a real expert, one who did the leg-work, one who worked for the big organisations at the top. He didn't just build computers, he went out to check himself; I mean, isn't it the most practical thing to do? He says:

*But if there is one scientist who knows more about sea levels than anyone else in the world it is the Swedish geologist and physicist Nils-Axel Mörner, formerly chairman of the INQUA International Commission on Sea Level Change.*

He was the Chairman.

*And the uncompromising verdict of Dr Mörner, who for 35 years has been using every known scientific method to study sea levels all over the globe, is that all this talk about the sea rising is nothing but a colossal scare story.*

They're using fear and nonsense to terrify us into compliance, to with sustainability and population reduction; and, of course, to fleece us out of trillions more of dollars, perpetual slavery, in other words: to pay off debt you can't pay off.

*Despite fluctuations down as well as up, "the sea is not rising," he says. "It hasn't risen in 50 years." If there is any rise this century it will "not be more than 10cm (four inches), with an uncertainty of plus or minus 10cm". And quite apart from examining the hard evidence, he says, the elementary laws of physics (latent heat needed to melt ice) tell us that the apocalypse conjured up by Al Gore and Co could not possibly come about.*

*The reason why Dr Mörner, formerly a Stockholm professor, is so certain that these claims about sea level rise are 100 per cent wrong is that they are all based on computer model predictions,*

They buy 'crisis' computers and tell them to build it in 'we want these answers'. They give us good graphics and stuff and scales and all that, because they like to show the public graphs, since the days of Malthus.

*whereas his findings are based on "going into the field to observe what is actually happening in the real world".*

*When running the International Commission on Sea Level Change, he launched a special project on the Maldives, whose leaders have for 20 years been calling for vast sums of international aid to stave off disaster.*



Of course, it's a great scam.

*Six times he and his expert team visited the islands, to confirm that the sea has not risen for half a century. Before announcing his findings, he offered to show the inhabitants a film explaining why they had nothing to worry about. The government refused to let it be shown.*

Ha-ha-ha. Because they had so much money to gain if it went through, right? Everything's a great con isn't it?

*Similarly in Tuvalu, where local leaders have been calling for the inhabitants to be evacuated for 20 years, the sea has if anything dropped in recent decades. The only evidence the scaremongers can cite is based on the fact that extracting groundwater for pineapple growing has allowed seawater to seep in to replace it. Meanwhile, Venice has been sinking rather than the Adriatic rising, says Dr Mörner.*

See the facts don't suit; just go out and measure the things, rather than stare at the silly little computer from the crisis creation company.

*One of his most shocking discoveries was why the IPCC*

That's the big panel, paid by the UN and there's all kinds on there, even janitors.

*has been able to show sea levels rising by 2.3mm a year. Until 2003, even its own satellite-based evidence showed no upward trend. But suddenly the graph tilted upwards because the IPCC's favoured experts had drawn on the finding of a single tide-gauge in Hong Kong harbour showing a 2.3mm rise.*

One report, this is all based on one report.

*The entire global sea-level projection was then adjusted*

So they adjusted the whole sea, for the whole planet, on one tide, one incoming tide gauge in Hong Kong harbour, that's what it's all based on. So they adjusted it:

*upwards by a "corrective factor"*

As they called it.

*of 2.3mm, because, as the IPCC scientists admitted, they "needed to show a trend".*

That's their words! So we've got to change our behaviour to suit this, understand that; and become depopulated, because of fraud. It's only fraud because it's an agenda, which we must believe, in true Orwellian manner, true Orwellian. It's not good enough to tell them what they want to hear, we've got to believe it. It's a very good article to read and I'll put these links up at the end of the show on my site [www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com) .

You know, our most 'favoured nation' trading partner is China, as everyone who buys anything today knows; and going back to that article I read from the Department of Defence and the US Military Marine Corps' main think-tank, where they talk about the interdependence and the coming together of nations more globally, we'll fight things globally, regionally, rather than nationally, you see.

We'll take regional partners; and on Mail Online, it says here:

***China's hi-tech 'death van' where criminals are executed and then their organs are sold on black market***

They've got death vans in China, because they're very practical and pragmatic, nothing goes to waste in China. And they show you a photograph of one of these new vans they have. I guess there are little fridges in there, for the organs; and they show you a prisoner getting pushed in the back, where he's strapped on an electronic trolley that then moves him on backwards and then give him three different injections. And I guess when they declare him brain dead, that's when they harvest your organs that go to very wealthy people. So, it's a going concern, under the guise, of course, that the guy's a criminal; I always say that crime pays but not for the criminal, it's for the guys at the top, the real ones. This is from the 27th of March 2009:

*Death will come soon for Jiang Yong. A corrupt local planning official with a taste for the high life, Yong solicited money from businessmen eager to expand in China's economic boom. Showering gifts on his mistress, known as Madam Tang, the unmarried official took more than £1 million*

About \$2 million.

*in bribes from entrepreneurs wanting permission to build skyscrapers on land which had previously been protected from development. But Yong, a portly, bespectacled figure, was caught by the Chinese authorities during a purge on corrupt local officials last year. He confessed and was sentenced to death.*

You also get sentenced to death, in China, for failure to pay your income taxes. This is the model State for the world, remember; we've all got to emulate China and the other parts of their system, which is one-child policy per family, and may other aspects as well.

*China executed 1,715 people last year, so one more death would hardly be remarkable.*

Now remember, again, going back to what I was talking about Huxley and so on, they must devalue human life, it must be devalued, right, and we're at that stage. Even this article is another step towards it, because China want to export these wagons, where they can put you and kill you and strip you and off with your organs so fast, it's so efficient that they're hoping that other people will cash in on this whole deal.

*But there will be nothing ordinary about Yong's death by lethal injection. Unless he wins an appeal, he will draw his final breath strapped inside a vehicle that has been specially developed to make executions more cost-effective and efficient.*

Everything's economic; economics these days, economics. Then it goes on:

*In chilling echoes of the 'gas-wagon' project pioneered by the Nazis...*

Etc, Etc, they go on: it's a similar type, only better, more efficient. They can drive it around, pick you up and do the thing on the spot. It says:

*After trials of the mobile execution service were launched quietly three years ago*

It was in the papers at the time but they tried to keep it out of the Western papers.

*- then hushed up to prevent an international row about the abuse of human rights before the Olympics last summer*

And of course the media all complied.

*- these vehicles are now being deployed across China. The number of executions is expected to rise*

So, it's a going concern, they'll just create more crime, you see, all they do is create more crime on the books, until everything's a crime and they can really bump up their business in body parts.

*to a staggering 10,000 people this year (not an impossible figure given that at least 68 crimes - including tax evasion and fraud - are punishable by death in China).*

*Developed by Jinguan Auto, which also makes bullet-proof limousines for the new rich in this vast country of 1.3 billion people, the vans appear unremarkable. They cost £60,000, [\$120,000 each] can reach top speeds of 80mph and look like a police vehicle on patrol.*

Maybe the police will start buying them, maybe they will, with cutbacks and all the rest of it, it'll be more efficient in the Western world, won't it? Who knows? Who knows? Nothing, nothing would surprise me now, nothing, because we have been de-culturalised, we're in a state of apathy. Most folk are narcissistic, they live in their little bubble and they really don't care about anyone else, as long as it's not them. That's how far the war on us has got to: moral relativism /'so what?' there's nothing moral to stand up for anymore; that's the point of it.

That's what they're doing in China and you can read this article, I'll put the link up for that too. Then, of course, in China as well, they think it's China, apparently they've been hacking all the government computers across the world. It makes me wonder about this whole article. How come we at the bottom level get sold very expensive (to us, anyway) spyware systems, that generally don't do anything? I often wonder in fact if they're the authorised ones, the big ones are always popular, it probably makes it easier to gather data on you in fact, when you're scanning through all your stuff, it probably sends it all off somewhere. Remember, all our computers must be hackable and have 'back doors' that government agencies can get into. They're made that way, by law; a law that was passed in the '90s. Meanwhile, we're told that our governments - now, they don't buy 50-\$100 spyware programmes, or use the freebies that we do. Whatever they're using, I guarantee you, is in the millions of bucks; and yet, supposedly, they're hacked, that's what we're being told, by a system that will come through email, download software into your computer and then turn on, even your controls for microphone etc, and listen to what you're saying in the room. There was articles out in the Western world, because you see, by law, as I say, they put all these back doors for government agencies into computers. Our own governments have been doing that since they gave us computers, so here they are complaining that China's doing it on them. It's astonishing; and apparently, it's mainly to do with the Dalai Lama,

because of Tibet and China wants it back, so it's the Dalai Lama who first came forward and asked Canadian researchers to look into his computer system and they found the stuff and they found it in almost any other country. But let's be honest, the NSA for the West is doing exactly the same thing across the world too, to every other country too. There's nothing private on the computer, unless you truly are up at the NSA's level, nothing's private; and remember they had it, they had that whole system long-long before we even heard of the Internet. It was the military-industrial complex that used Internet, as we now call it.

I think there's Sam in Australia, is Sam there on the telephone? We'll see if he's there.

Sam: Hi Alan, how are you?

Alan: I'm hanging on here!

Sam: It's good to talk to you again; good to hear you as well. I've been away for a few weeks but I had all your old podcasts to listen through to, so pretty amazing and I've also got your books as well. So, thank you for sending those through, I managed to read them, they are fantastic, man. I've got a few questions that I'd like to email you in the future, I want to just read through the all three again, just amazing in terms of, you know, I'm just really grateful for the knowledge but also the fact that it just would have taken me years and years and years to sort of get through by just reading these books, so you've saved me a lot of time too, so thank you very much.

Alan: Well, time's the one thing we don't have these days eh?

Sam: No, it's pretty scary, but I was just watching a couple of 'docos' recently, I'm unsure whether you've seen both of them. One's a CBC documentary called Domsday Called Off.

Alan: No, I didn't see that one.

Sam: Have a good look at it, it's quite funny, in the sense that it's about this whole climate change debate and it's pretty savaging in terms of, it basically just disproves climate change. And it's amazing because they go through how the IPCC has put all this together and that it's basically just a political agenda and the fact that they've taken out the two very-very crucial elements of the whole global warming debate. And that is, historically, they've taken out the Medieval Warming Period, which you've spoken of, and they've taken out the last mini Ice Age. Now, if you've got that, what you've got is the Hockey Stick theory, right? And mostly they also talk about the fact that we didn't actually start looking at the stars physically until we actually started measuring this; and it's just point by point, they just take the whole thing apart and they interview a lot of very respected scientists. And things like the whole model that you're talking about, all this false stuff that they feed into the computers. I mean, at the end of the day, they're based on things like surface temperature. They interview the guy, there is a professor there that actually said well there has to be a better way of doing that. So, he had a look at all these weather satellite data from globally, for the last ten years and he discovered in the lower and upper atmospheres, where most of the climate change business gets done, there has been no, none, fluctuation difference in temperature.

Alan: That's right.

Sam: The whole thing is such as scam.

Alan: The thing is though, this is what I'm saying, we're going through schizophrenia here because the elite aren't ever going to back off, because it seems to be their main plan and they've already taken so many years now to indoctrinate the youth into this greening thing, to bring the world together. We even see it with upcoming riots, they're demanding jobs from the government; and I call it *changes in the weather*, because they want the weather to be altered as well, to save the planet from weather change etc, it's so incredible but that's what they're doing. Hold on, till after this break.

=== BREAK ===

I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix and we're talking to Sam from Australia, who's talking about the farce of global warming; and how even major documentaries have been made about it, from the CBC, who be a national organisation run by the Canadian Government, yet the Canadian Government is all completely on board with this whole agenda, regardless of any facts that you present to the contrary. Are you still there Sam?

Sam: Yeah, it was amazing just watching it, because I've always been suspicious of it. The way they pushed it through in Australia, was that they had one documentary shown, which was the one that was first made by the English producer. They screened that and then they had a panel of 'experts' after the thing debating it and that was it. From that moment on, that was the sort of nodal point where they just went 'ok now we believe it, now the whole country believes it', it was just amazing how quick. But, you know, to the credit of most of my friends, who are sceptics but they're still asleep, you know

Alan: Every country has got its Member of Parliament or Congress, to do with a department of environment, basically. All these guys are completely on board with this, in every country.

Sam: And they must know that these facts.

Alan: I'm sure they do.

Sam: And yet they still go ahead with it. It makes you see stuff in a different light. But, anyway, the second documentary I wanted to point out, was something that was on Australian ABC called Four Corners and there was a couple of interesting 'docos' there, investigative journalism; there's one called the Dishonouring of Marcus Einfeld. Now Marcus Einfeld is a Federal Court judge, or ex Federal Court Judge, who is now being jailed and the whole thing centred around the fact that he tried to avoid a speeding fine ticket, he claimed that his friend was driving the car that day and it turned out the friend that he claimed had driven the car had been dead for three years. So, basically, he's just been sentenced for perverting the course of justice, which just lands him with two years in jail. But it's interesting because through all these lies and with his position and stuff, they were able to track where he was that day, and what he'd eaten for lunch and where he was. In the documentary this guy comes on, one of the policemen comes on and goes "yes, well we got gigabytes of data on this guy". Now, just basically he was tripped from the restaurant in the City, back to his house. Now, the thing is, it they were able to go back and work out exactly what he'd done, exactly what he'd eaten and so anyone who ever says that we're not being watched, you're being paranoid, you

know, there is no big brother state, have a look at any crime, that's sort of big crime and watch how they can piece together the phone calls, what was said, what was recorded, how they can dig all this up. We are being watched.

Alan: We are being watched; and I've read many articles here, from mainstream again, from the big police organisations etc and the NSA telling us that. They keep telling us that they're watching everything we do and right now, they're funnelling all information, phone, fax, computer through central lines of filtering now, so they can monitor all data going through the one system, that's what all the big servers have been up to for the last few years.

Sam: We are completely under a totalitarian regime.

Alan: We are, we absolutely are. Thanks for calling, Sam.

Sam: Thanks Alan.

Alan: That's the music now, so, from Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada: it's goodnight and may your god or your gods go with you.

-----  
Transcribed by Bill Scott.  
-----

#### **Links to articles and topics covered in the show:-**

**["DCDC Global Strategic Trends Programme 2007-2036" \[PDF file, 6 MB\] \(U.K. Department of Defence Document\).](#)**

**[CFR: "Essential Documents - DCDC Global Strategic Trends Programme, 2007-2036" \(cfr.org\).](#)**

**["Rise of sea levels is 'the greatest lie ever told' " by Christopher Booker \(telegraph.co.uk\) - March 28, 2009.](#)**

**["China's hi-tech 'death van' where criminals are executed and then their organs are sold on black market" by Andrew Malone \(dailymail.co.uk\) - March 27, 2009.](#)**

**["Vast spy system loots computers in 103 countries, researchers say" by John Markoff, New York Times \(at boston.com\) - March 29, 2009.](#)**